# How to benchmark your Beam pipelines for performance and cost Roy Arson, Solutions Architect, Google Cloud



### About me



- Cloud Solutions Architect @ Google Cloud
  - Team: Data Analytics, Solutions Engineering
  - Industries
    - Financial services, Healthcare & Life Sciences, Manufacturing & Retail
  - $\circ \quad \text{Use cases} \quad$ 
    - Data movement, log analytics, security analytics
  - Products
    - BigQuery, Dataflow, Pub/Sub



# Why benchmark thy pipeline



- Will my pipeline meet expected SLOs?
  - Job/event e2e latency, Event throughput (EPS)
- Will my pipeline operate with optimum performance/cost ratio
  - Maximize resource utilization
- Is my pipeline properly sized **and** configured?







### Disclaimers

- Results presented are for demo purposes only.
  - No performance or cost guarantees.
  - Your mileage may vary.
    - Test your pipeline using your own real data and environment

• No pipeline harmed in this benchmarking process





# Why benchmarking is so hard



- Heterogeneous data types, pipeline types, stages, cloud providers
- Selecting appropriate benchmark tests
- Configuring nontrivial environments
- Variety of Dataflow user-facing performance metrics
- Variability in performance due to number of moving parts
- Analyze data and get actionable insights





# Benchmarking toolset



#### PerfKit Benchmarker Open Source Benchmark Framework



- A **canonical** set of **public** benchmarks to measure and compare cloud offerings.
- PKB takes care all the work from provisioning, executing, cleaning up and publishing results through CLI.
- All benchmarks are running with **default** settings. (Not tuned to in favor of any providers).
- Supported providers: GCP, AWS, Azure, Digital
   Ocean, Rackspace, OpenStack
- Contribution from Stanford, MIT, CloudHarmony, CloudSpectator, etc.



# Benchmark Example 1

#### Beam Wordcount



# Example 1: Wordcount



- Apache Beam <u>WordCount example</u>
- PKB wordcount benchmark
- Running on different machine types

lags: &myflags
<pre>dpb_job_jarfile: ./word-count-beam/target/word-count-beam-bundled-0.1.jar</pre>
<pre>dpb_job_classname: org.apache.beam.examples.WordCount</pre>
enchmarks:
dpb_wordcount_benchmark: {
<pre>dpb_service: { service_type: dataflow, worker_count: 1, worker_group: *eight_core }, flags: *myflags</pre>
dpb_wordcount_benchmark: {
<pre>dpb_service: { service_type: dataflow, worker_count: 1, worker_group: *four_core },</pre>
flags: *myflags
dpb_wordcount_benchmark: {
<pre>dpb_service: { service_type: dataflow, worker_count: 1, worker_group: *two_core },</pre>
flags: *myflags
}









## Example 1: Wordcount perf results

Run Time



Average CPU Utilization (%)



Total Cost





Austin, 2022

# Benchmark Example 2

Custom or Google-provided Dataflow template



#### Example 2: Dataflow Template Pub/Sub Subscription to BigQuery



\*Orchestrator state machine:

- 1. Deploy test bed and seed input data
- 2. Restore PubSub subscription snapshot
- 3. Run pipeline with configuration A
- 4. Wait until all messages are processed
- 5. Collect stats into BQ: pipeline utilization, cost, latency, and throughput
- 6. Repeat steps 2-5 for N different pipeline configurations
- Synthesize all tests results into optimal unit worker size (for perf/\$) and horizontal scaling guideline for pipeline to meet SLO





# Example 2: Dataflow Template

- Dataflow template: <u>Pub/Sub Subscription to BigQuery</u>
- PKB dataflow template benchmark
- Run across different recent versions

dpb\_df\_template\_benchmark: description: Run Dataflow template dpb\_service: service\_type: dataflow worker\_count: 1 worker\_group: \*four\_core flag\_matrix: recent\_versions flag\_matrix\_defs: recent\_versions: dpb\_df\_template\_gcs\_location: - gs://dataflow-templates, 2022-07-04-00\_RC00 /PubSub\_Subscription\_to\_BigQuery - gs://dataflow-templates, 2022-06-27-00\_RC00 /PubSub\_Subscription\_to\_BigQuery - gs://dataflow-templates, 2022-06-21-00\_RC00 /PubSub\_Subscription\_to\_BigQuery - gs://dataflow-templates, 2022-06-21-00\_RC00 /PubSub\_Subscription\_to\_BigQuery - gs://dataflow-templates, 2022-06-06-00\_RC00 /PubSub\_Subscription\_to\_BigQuery - gs://dataflow-templates, 2022-06-06-00\_RC00 /PubSub\_Subscription\_to\_BigQuery - gs://dataflow-templates, 2022-06-30-00\_RC00 /PubSub\_Subscription\_to\_BigQuery - gs://dataflow-templates, 2022-05-30-00\_RC00 /PubSub\_Subscription\_to\_BigQuery - gs://data





# Example 2: Dataflow Template

- Dataflow template: <u>Pub/Sub Subscription to BigQuery</u>
- PKB dataflow template benchmark
- Run across different input sizes

dpb\_df\_template\_benchmark: description: Run Dataflow template dpb\_service: service\_type: dataflow worker\_count: 1 worker\_group: \*four\_core flag\_matrix: input\_sizes flag\_matrix\_defs: input\_sizes: dpb\_df\_template\_input\_subscription: - projects/[MY\_PROJECT]/subscriptions/perftest-pubsub-input-sub-100k - projects/[MY\_PROJECT]/subscriptions/perftest-pubsub-input-sub-100k - projects/[MY\_PROJECT]/subscriptions/perftest-pubsub-input-sub-10M - projects/[MY\_PROJECT]/subscriptions/perftest-pubsub-input-sub-10M



## Example 2: Pipeline perf results



Run Time

Max Throughput (EPS)

Max Throughput per vCPU (EPS/core)



	vCPUs*	Mem (GB)*	Max egress (Gbps)*
n1-standard-2	2	7.5	10
n1-standard-4	4	15	10
n1-standard-8	8	30	16
n1-standard-16	16	60	32

\*See Compute Engine docs for latest specs and factors



Austin, 2022



## Example 2: Utilization & cost results

Average CPU Utilization (%)

**Total Cost** 





## Caveats and next steps



- 1. Variability in pipeline performance
  - Run time, spin up/down time, failures
    - Iterate each test configuration N times
    - Pre-warm pipeline before running each test
    - Mark test complete when all events written to sink



- 2. Dependency on testbed setup, and source/sink characteristics
  - Networking, Cloud NAT
  - Limited to upstream and downstream performance
    - e.g. BQ write throughput quota per project



## Caveats and next steps



- 3. Sensitivity to input workload
  - Realistic benchmark and stress testing
    - Backlog
    - Steady-state
    - Steady-state with bursts
    - Steady-state with step function
  - Realistic data sets
    - Run different types of data

perftest-pubsub-input-sub-1M		EDIT	CREATE SNAPSHOT	() REPLAY MESSAGES		
cription name			projects,	/subscriptions	/perftest-pubsub-input-sub-1M	16
cription state			🕑 active			
: name			projects/	/topics/perftes	st-pubsub-input-topic 🗖	
<b>I</b> ESSAGES	METRICS	DETAILS				
Unacked n	nessage count					:
					2.0M 1.5M 1.0M 0.5M	
9:	55 10 AM	10:05 10:10	10:15 10:20	10:25 10:30 10:35	0 10:40 10:45 10:50	
	perftest-p cription name cription state name MESSAGES	Perftest-pubsub-inp cription name cription state name MESSAGES METRICS Unacked message count	Perftest-pubsub-input-sub-1M cription name cription state name MESSAGES METRICS DETAILS Unacked message count 9:55 10 AM 10:05 10:10	perftest-pubsub-input-sub-1M Projects. cription name projects. cription state a active active active name projects/ MESSAGES METRICS DETAILS Unacked message count	perftest-pubsub-input-sub-IM       ✓ EDIT       M CREATE SNAPSHOT         cription name       projects,       /subscriptions         cription state       Image: Comparison of the state of	perftest-pubsub-input-sub-1 M       ✓ EDIT       M CREATE SNAPSHOT       © REPLAY MESSAGES         cription name       projects.       /subscriptions/perftest-pubsub-input-sub-1 M         cription state       Image: State of the st





### Your mileage may vary... based on your data, environment and workload profile

## Call to Action



- **Benchmark** your own pipelines
  - Estimate costs, plan capacity to meet SLOs, and avoid performance regressions
- Use battle-tested PerfKit Benchmarker
- Share feedback and suggestions
- **Extend** PerfKit Benchmarker for benefit of Dataflow & Beam community

Wiki: <u>https://googlecloudplatform.github.io/PerfKitBenchmarker/</u> GitHub: <u>https://github.com/rarsan/PerfKitBenchmarker/</u> (to be merged upstream)



### Acknowledgements



- **Diego Orellana**, Software Engineer @ Google, PerfKit Benchmarker
- Sergei Lilichenko, Cloud Solutions Architect @ Google, Data Analytics
- Rodd Zurcher, Cloud Solutions Architect @ Google, App/Infra Modernization



# Questions?

twitter.com/RoyArsan linkedin.com/in/arsan github.com/rarsan

